24

25

26

27

28

1

E-FILED on _____5/4/10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, AUSTRALIA PTY LIMITED and ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

No. C-06-03717 RMW

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTERNATIONAL GAME TECHNOLOGY and IGT,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING IGT'S MOTION TO SEAL CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL DECISION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. PROC. 72(a)

[Re Docket No. 738]

On November 16, 2009, defendants International Game Technology and IGT (collectively "IGT") moved to file portions of IGT's Objections to Nondispositive Pretrial Decision Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 72(a) under seal because plaintiffs Aristocrat Technologies, Australia PTY Limited, and Aristocrat Technologies, Inc. (collectively "Aristocrat") had designated portions of the document confidential or highly confidential. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), Aristocrat had seven days to file with the court and serve a declaration establishing that the designated information is sealable and a narrowly tailored proposed sealing order. Aristocrat has not filed either a declaration or a proposed sealing order. Thus, it appears that Aristocrat does not object to having

ORDER DENYING IGT'S MOTION TO SEAL CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL DECISION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. PROC. 72(a) —No. C-06-03717 RMW CCL

Case 5:06-cv-03717-RMW Document 793 Filed 05/04/10 Page 2 of 3

For the Northern District of California

United States District Court

the document made part of the public record. The court therefore denies the motion to seal. IGT shall file an unredacted version of its Objections to Nondispositive Pretrial Decision Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Proc. 72(a) as part of the public record within 5 days of this order.

DATED: 5/4/10 | Mala M WHYTE

RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

ORDER DENYING IGT'S MOTION TO SEAL CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL DECISION PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. PROC. 72(a) -No. C-06-03717 RMW CCL 2

1	Notice of this document ha	s been electronically sent to:			
2	Counsel for Plaintiffs:				
3	Anthony R. de Alcaeus	adealcuaz@mwe.com			
4	Terrence Patrick McMahon Jeremy Todd Elman				
5	Robert J. Blanch , Jr. Phillip C. Ducker	rblanch@mwe.com pducker@mwe.com			
6 7	Counsel for Defendants:				
8 9 10 11	Jeffrey Stewart Love Daniel Justin Weinberg Gabriel M. Ramsey Garth Alan Winn Kristin L. Cleveland Laura Kieran Kieckhefer Michael J. Bettinger Patrick Marshall Bible Robert T. Cruzen	jeffrey.love@klarquist.com dweinberg@orrick.com gramsey@orrick.com garth.winn@klarquist.com kristin.cleveland@klarquist.com kkieckhefer@orrick.com mike.bettinger@klgates.com patrick.bible@klarquist.com rob.cruzen@klarquist.com			
12 13	Stephanie Sue Nelson Eric Lance Wesenberg	stephanie.nelson@klarquist.com ewesenberg@orrick.com			
13	Counsel are responsible for o	distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not			
15	registered for e-filing under	the court's CM/ECF program.			
16					
17	Dated: 5/4/10	CCL Chambers of Judge Whyte			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		TO SEAL CERTAIN PORTIONS OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL CIV. PROC. 72(a) —No. C-06-03717 RMW 3			